

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,402	02/08/2002	Klein A. Rodriques	2002.ALC	4789
35157	35157 7590 05/09/2006		EXAMINER	
NATIONAL STARCH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY			ASINOVSKY, OLGA	
P.O. BOX 6500 BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807-3300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1711		
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./
CONTROL NO.

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /
PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

0504

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

In response to the "Order Returning Undocketed Appeal to Examiner" mailed on March 29, 2006, the examiner makes an explanation that there is no new "Ground of Rejection" in the Examiner's Answer on page 6. In the rejection (B) on page 6 in Part (B1) the claims must be 13, 15-20 and 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arfaei U.S. Patent 4,960,465 as applied to claims 7-12, 21 and 23-24 above. Claim 25 is missing in the title of this rejection, however, claim 25 is discussed on page 9. The same rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for the same claims 7-13 and 15-25 over the same reference U.S.Patent 4,960,465 to Arfaei is maintained, (Part B1) as the same rejection in the final office action mailed on 07/22/2004. Only claims are divided on two parts: as 13, 15-20 and 22 and 25 (corrected) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arfaei U.S. Patent 4,960, 465 as applied to claims 7-12, 21 and 23-24 above. The above claims 7-12 and 23-24 are rejected in the Part (A) under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodrigues U.S. Patent 4,960,465. The rejection of claims 7-13 and 15-25 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodrigues U.S. Patent 6,291,594 (as set in the final office action mailed on 07/22/2004) is maintained in the Part (B2) on page 9 in the Examiner's Answer.

Jarhes J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examine :
Technology Center 1700